

Odisha Human Rights Commission
Toshali Bhawan(2nd Floor) Satyanagar, ORISSA -751007

Advocate A K sharma and associates,
O/o. The Advocates Chamber, sector - 6 Markat Nagar Cuttack -753014 CUTTACK , ODISHA
Dated: 11/05/2026

Dear Advocate A K sharma and associates,

The Commission has received your complaint and it has assigned diary number as **317/IN/2026** with the following details:-

Complainant Details

Name:	Advocate A K sharma and associates		
Mobile:	9348105872	Email:	advocateschamber@yahoo.com
Address:	O/o. The Advocates Chamber, sector - 6 Markat Nagar Cuttack -753014		
District:	CUTTACK	State:	ODISHA

Victim Details

Victim Name:	Punjilal Meher	Gender:	Male
Religion:	Hindu	Cast:	Unknown
Address:	Patnagarh, Balangir		
District:	BOLANGIR	State:	ODISHA

Incident Details

Incident Place:	Balangir Court	Incident Date:	11/05/2026
Incident Category:	BIASED JUDICIARY		
Incident District:	BOLANGIR	Incident State:	ODISHA
Is it filed before any Court / State HRC	No		

Incident Details:	<p>Subject : Non-Provide of the right to default bail to the accused of the G.R. case No.87 of 2018, corresponding to CID C.B. P.S. case no. 07 dated 23.03.2018 corresponding to Patnagarh P.S. Case No.35 dated 23.02.2018, U/s.302/307/201 of IPC and Sec. 3 & 4 Explosive Substances Act committed by the court of S.D.J.M., Patnagarh Sirs, It is the duty of the State and its instrumentalities to comply with the rules of law, directions of the competent authority(s) and courts and the guidelines of the apex court issued from time to time but nothing has been done to comply with the rules of law as to the right to default bail enriched in the section 167(2) of the CrPC.,1973 to the accused of the above named case who has been the english professor and a law binding domiciles of the state. 01. That, the accused was arrested on Dt. 25-04-2018 and the final charge-sheet was filed on Dt. 30-01-2019 i.e. after 280 days but nothing has been done by the Judicial magistrate to inform the accused to avail this right as per the guidelines of the apex court issued in the case of M. Ravindran Vs. The Intelligence Officer, Directorate of Revenue Intelligence, SLP No. 2333 of 2023, but nothing has been done towards the same under a deep-rooted criminal conspiracy, intentionally dishonest, fraudulently, and for the wrongful gain, and the deceitful means has caused injury to the accused. 02. That, the complainant(s) have reason to believe that the rights of the other accused have been violated by the State and the District Judiciary and the competent authorities and the parent departments were sleeping like KHUMBHAKARNA after considering themselves above the law. 03. That, the citizens are the consumers of the judiciary and the same was reiterated by the apex court in the case of PILA PAHAN VS STATE OF JHARKHAND, W.P. CrI. ANo. 169 of 2025 but nothing has been done to protect this right of the citizens consumers, which is nothing but unfair practices, deficiency in services, and violating other consumer rights crafted in Section 2(9) of the Consumer Protection Act-2019. 04. That, the complainant has reason to believe that the State Govt. and Judiciary have no respect for the rule of law prevailing in this country, and the same has been ascertained by the apex court by passing a judgement called "TRAVESTY OF JUSTICE", "SORROW STATE OF AFFAIR" etc. the State Govt. and judiciary is not complying to the guidelines of the apex court including what issued in the case of Arnesh Kumar Vs State of Bihar, 2014 and whoever is raising their voice are threatened for the future consequences and false implication 05. That, the IO submitted the preliminary charge-sheet to sabotage the right of the accused to default bail and the court colluded with the police and violated the fundamental rights of the accused for the wrongful gain as ALL wanted to convict the accused by HOOK or by CROOKED. 06. That, the state Govt. and judiciaries are harassing the accuse since last 8 years and he has not given any opportunities to the heard, his appeal is pending before the OHC but nothing has been done to suspend the sentence and enlarge the accused on bail as per the guidelines of the apex court issued in the case of MUNA BISOI VS STATE OF ODISHA, SLP No. 163 of 2026. 07. That the above labelled allegations are only a GIST of the whole affair.</p>
--------------------------	--