

**Complaint Petition u/s 3 of the Judges ( Protection ) Act-1985**

2 messages

Kamal Agarwal &lt;kamalagarwalodisha@gmail.com&gt;

Fri, May 8, 2026 at 1:41 AM

To: highcourt.or@nic.in, lawsec.or@nic.in, dm-keonjhar@nic.in, "dirprpr@gmail.com" &lt;dirprpr@gmail.com&gt;, "ohrc@nic.IN" &lt;ohrc@nic.in&gt;, oscpcr2012@gmail.com, cr.nhrc@ndic.in

Cc: "supremecourt@nic.in" &lt;supremecourt@nic.in&gt;

To.

01. District Court, Keonjhar
02. District Magistrate, Kenohar
03. Home Department, Govt. of Odisha
04. Law Department, Govt of Odisha
- 05 Directorate of Public Prosecution
06. O/o. The Hon'ble Orissa high Court ( OHC )
07. OHRC
08. NHRC
09. OSCPCR

Subject : Complaint Petition u/s 3 of the Judges ( Protection ) Act-1985 against the presiding judge of the CHILDREN COURT, Keonjhar

Sirs,

The Citizens are the consumers of the judiciary, and the legal practitioners have a fundamental right to practice in all courts of the country, but nothing has been done by the Children's Court of the District of Keonjhar to pass an order in the open court after the hearing on **Dt. 06-05-2026** as to the rejection of the bail of the CICL.

01. That, Free and fair judicial proceedings are the fundamental right of the citizen and the legal practitioner, as enshrined in **Article 21** of the Constitution of India, which includes an honest and unbiased judge, but the erring judge, found to be a mute spectator during the proceeding, did nothing to record the submission of the counsel of the CICL and the objection filed against the Govt. A leader who was misleading the court for wrongful gain, violating the provisions of the **Odisha State Litigation Policy-2011**.

01. That, the presiding officer of the Hon'ble court heard the submission of the counsel of the CICL, and the objection raised against the submission of the PP but the same **were not found place on the order Dt. 06-05-2026** was rejected illegally and arbitrarily, which caused injury to the aggrieved people. Thus, the erring Judge acted as the agent of the Government, which is running the court as per the **whims and fancies of the prosecution department**.

02. That, the order was reserved on dt. 06-05-2026 and **was not uploaded in the website on the same day**, and the same was only available on the next dates, i.e. Dt. 07-06-2026 the Court CPC did nothing to provide the same using email or whatsapp to the counsel of the CICL thus the order was not pronounced in the open court as the same judicial officers is not passing and pronouncing any order in the open court which proves that he is found to be an incompetent, impotent and powerless who is running the court as per the whims and fancy of the Govt. and prosecution department who has trained the section officers and typist of the court how to write and upload a bail rejection order.

03. That, all the orders passed were **cryptic in nature, without assigning any valid reason and ground for arriving at the reason**, thus violating the guidelines of the apex court, and upon protest, the judicial officer has no fear of the law, which proves that he has been protected by his political masters and corrupt officers of the Orissa High Court and the Government. The same is felt by other legal practitioners who are unable to provide justice to their clients and earn enough to survive and take loans from fellow legal practitioners to run their families due to the deceitful means of some corrupt officers of the court. The same has also been identified by the Allahabad High Court.

04. That, The competent authorities and parent departments, and courts have been inactive towards the same, like KHUMBHAKARNA, and the deceitful means have caused injury to the aggrieved people, as they are not redressing the complaint filed by the citizens. The OHC and the District Court have not constituted the GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL COMMITTEE FOR THE LEGAL PRACTITIONER AND COMPLAINTS COMMITTEE as per the guidelines of the apex court issued in the case of M.A. NO. 859 OF 2020 IN SLP (C) NO. 5440 OF 2020; APRIL 20, 2023 District Bar Association Dehradun versus Ishwar Shandilya & Ors.

05. That, the complainants, being the legal practitioners and the rights activists, have reason to believe that this judicial officer and the presiding judge of the children's court, Keonjhar, is being protected by his political masters and acting accordingly, which proves that this judge does not have any respect for the rule of law prevailing in this country and how to run a children's court. The complainant has reason to believe that the some corrupt judges of the OHC who operates the **Organised Crime Syndicate of Paisa Vashooli Racket** are instigating the judges of the District Judiciary not to provide any bail in heinous crimes in the name of the **"MAINTAINING OF THE MAJESTY OF LAW"**. One order has been passed by the OHC also towards the same which is nothing but the **"TRAVESTY of JUSTICE"**.

05. That, the judges of OHC and District Judiciary are also passing punitive directions without any trail to wash the police station for months, and the same were issued to the DALIT community people, which proves that those sitting at the TOP levels are considering the SC/ST community people as refuse of the gutters and thinking that they will remain in jail like cattle. Similarly, the CICL, being a member of the Scheduled caste community, has been dwelling in the place of safety for the last 3 years, but nothing has been done by the OHC and District judiciary to enlarge him on bail as he is an engineering student.

06. That the order rejecting the bail was **not signed by the passing judge**, and the complainant(s), being the legal practitioners, have reason to believe that the section officer and the typist are passing this order after looking at the previously rejected order of the court thus the order is the contempt of the court as the same is the violation of the guidelines of the apex court issued in the case of **STATE BANK OF INDIA VS AJAY KUMAR SOOD, SLP 4038 of 2021**

07. That, the court shall comply with the **"DOCTRINE OF PRECEDENT"** before passing an order, and the same was reiterated by the apex court in the case of **ABDUL WAHAB VS STATE OF KERALA, CRL. APPEAL NO.1047 of 2018**, but nothing has been done to comply with the guidelines of the apex court issued in the case of **VIHAAN KUMAR VS STATE OF HARYANA, SLO 13320 of 2024**, even if the custody of the CICL is found to be illegal, and the order was silent towards these same.

08. That, the court shall comply with the constitutional provision of "RIGHT TO EQUALITY," but nothing has been done to comply with the mandates of the Hon'ble Orissa High Court issued in the case of **RANJAN NAIK VS STATE OF ODISHA, CRLA No. 160 of 2026**, thus violating the guidelines of the apex court issued in the case of **LT. COL SUPRITA CHANDEL VS UOI, C.A. No. 1983 of 2022**.

09. That, the order was passed order taking ground of the OHC order Dt. 15-03-2026 in the case of CRLA 440 of 2024 but the same was not discussed at all during the hearing on Dt. 06-05-2026 and never raised by the duty PP during the hearing; otherwise, the same should have been objected by the counsel

of the CICL; thus, no opportunity ever given to the CICL and his counsel towards the same with is nothing but **a violation of the principle of natural justice.**

10. That, the above mentioned facts and circumstances proves that the presiding judge of the CHILDREN COURT, Keonjhar have **no respect for the rule of law, directions of the competent authority(s) and courts and the guidelines of the apex court** thus found to be **incompetent, impotent and powerless** and running his court for the wrongful gain and the prosecution department and as **the agent of the ruling Government** who have implanted in the court only to sabotage the petition of the innocent citizens so that the **Paisa Vashooli Racket operated by the corrupt judges and others of the OHC can be benefited.**

So, the above-mentioned competent authorities are requested to act as per the rule of law to protect the fundamental rights of the aggrieved people, provide a democratic judiciary in the state, take strict action against the erring judges and public servants, compensate the aggrieved adequately and make further arrangement for the registration of FIR for the atrocity caused against the tribal CICL and for the cheating, forgery etc., appoint a competent and honest judge in the children court and oblige.

--

Thanking You.

**Kamal Agarwal & Others**  
( Senior Citizen , Rights Activist, Whistleblower )  
O/o. The Advocates Chamber  
Sector-6, Markat Nagar  
Cuttack - 753014, Odisha  
Mob. : 9348105872


Enclosed :

01. Copies of relevant documents.

PS: Please communicate using email only to comply with the 5Ts & MO SARKAR Initiative of the State Government and use e-dispatch machinery for the communication. This is a computer-generated email; it does not require a signature.


---

**5 attachments**

 **Order-04-05-2026.pdf**  
23K

 **SC order - Grievance redressal committee for advocates.pdf**  
130K

 **judgement should be signed and then uploaded-gist.pdf**  
1251K

 **Bail-06-05-2026.PDF**  
2587K

 **SBI Vs Ajay Kumar Sood-2022.pdf**  
259K



## Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to **cr.nhrc@ndic.in** because the domain ndic.in couldn't be found. Check for typos or unnecessary spaces and try again.

[LEARN MORE](#)

The response was:

DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of ndic.in responded with code NXDOMAIN Domain name not found: ndic.in For more information, go to <https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain>

Final-Recipient: rfc822; cr.nhrc@ndic.in

Action: failed

Status: 5.1.2

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; DNS Error: DNS type 'mx' lookup of ndic.in responded with code NXDOMAIN

Domain name not found: ndic.in For more information, go to <https://support.google.com/mail/?p=BadRcptDomain>

Last-Attempt-Date: Thu, 07 May 2026 13:11:21 -0700 (PDT)

---

 **noname**  
5K